

Establishment of an Army School at Kittur in Belgaum District.

*Q.—729. Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR (Sampagaon II).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether there is a proposal to establish an Army School at Kittur in Belgaum District;

(b) if so, whether they have moved the Central Government in this behalf?

A.—Sri S. R. KANTHI (Minister for Education).—

(a) No.

(b) Does not arise in view of reply to (a) above.

Conversion of Kollegal Panchayat into a Municipality.

*Q.—762. Sri G. V. GOWDA (Palya).—

Will the Government be pleased to state;

(a) whether it is a fact as that they had called for objection for the conversion of the Kollegal Panchayat into a Municipality;

(b) whether it is a fact that no objections were preferred within the stipulated time;

(c) whether the Government deferred the question of converting the Kollegal Panchayat into a Municipality and if so, the reasons therefor?

A.—Sri K. PUTTASWAMY (Minister for Municipal Administration).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Yes.

(c) The question of converting Kollegal Panchayat into a Municipality is dropped for the present owing to certain legal difficulties.

Sending of Reserve Police to Honniganahalli in Sathanur Hobli, Kanakapura Taluk.

*Q.—568. Sri K. S. SHIVALINGE GOWDA (Virupakshipura).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether it is a fact that Reserve Police had been sent to

Honniganahalli in Sathanur Hobli, Kanakapura Taluk, on 12-6-1962;

(b) if so, the reasons therefor?

A.—Sri R. M. PATIL (Minister for Home).—

(a) Yes.

(b) For maintenance of law and order.

Admissibility of *Re*: Deaths of 62 persons in K. G. F. as a result of consumption of certain intoxicated Drinks—Chair's ruling.

2-00 P.M.

Mr. SPEAKER.—As the Hon'ble Members are aware, the question of admissibility of the two notices of adjournment motion has been the subject of detailed discussion on the floor of the House during the past 3 days. The discussion has centred round the scope of item 7 of Rule 52 of our Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business. This item says that an adjournment motion should not raise a question which is under adjudication by a court of law having jurisdiction in any part of India. Very elaborate arguments have been advanced by the Hon'ble Home Minister and Law Minister and several other Hon'ble Members. Though there have been rulings of our own Assembly to the effect that a matter can be held to be under adjudication by a court of law in criminal cases only when a charge sheet has been filed, arguments have been canvassed for a different position, namely that the filing of an F. I. R. accompanied by the imminence of the filing of a charge sheet would preclude the admission of an adjournment motion. Several cases of courts of law have been cited in support of this proposition. As this issue is a vital one and if existing rulings are reversed or modified, it would directly affect the constitutional rights conferred on our Legislature, I have considered it desirable to defer my ruling until I examine the question in greater detail. I will examine all the relevant authorities and give a ruling later.